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## Summary

Coffee is, in dollar terms, the most important agricultural product traded in the world. Producing coffee requires a lot of water, but at the start of this study no specific studies were available. The objective of this study is to calculate the volumes of water required to drink coffee in the Netherlands, with the underlying aim to contribute to figures that can be used for raising awareness on the effects of our consumption pattern on the use of natural resources.

We have specifically looked at the sources of the Dutch coffee, because the water requirements per kilogram of coffee differ in the various coffee-producing countries. The 'virtual water content' of coffee has been defined as the total volume of water required for producing the coffee. In order to calculate the total virtual water content of roasted coffee we have looked into the water requirements in each production stage. We have calculated the water requirements in both the wet and the dry production method. For calculating the crop water requirements of the coffee plant we have used data and models of the Food and Agriculture Organization. Data for the different stages of the post-harvesting production process have been taken from various sources. Data on international coffee trade have been taken from the United Nations Statistics Division.

We found that for drinking one standard cup of coffee in the Netherlands we need about 140 litres of water, by far the largest part for growing the coffee plant. A standard cup of coffee is 125 ml , which means that we need more than 1100 drops of water for producing one drop of coffee. This figure calculated for the Dutch situation happens to be quite representative as a global average. Total coffee consumption in the Netherlands requires a total of 2.6 billion cubic metres of water per year, which is equal to $36 \%$ of the annual Meuse flow. The Dutch people account for $2.4 \%$ of the world coffee consumption. All together, the world population requires about 110 billion cubic metres of water per year in order to be able to drink coffee. This is equivalent to 15 times the annual Meuse runoff, or 1.5 times the annual Rhine runoff.

The water needed to drink coffee in the Netherlands is actually not Dutch water, because the coffee is produced in Latin America, Africa and Asia. The most important sources are Brazil and Colombia. There is also a large amount of coffee imported from transit-countries, particularly Belgium and Germany.

The water needed to make coffee depends on the climate at the place of production and the yields per hectare that are obtained. For the overall water need, it makes hardly any difference whether the dry or wet production process is applied, because the water used in the wet production process is a very small fraction $(0.34 \%)$ of the water used to grow the coffee plant. However, this relatively small amount of water is often a problem, because this is water to be obtained from surface water, which is sometimes scarcely available. Besides, the wastewater from the coffee factories is often heavily polluted. The large volume of water to grow the coffee plant comes from rainwater, a source with less competition between alternative uses than in the case of surface water.

Drinking tea instead of coffee would save a lot of water. For a standard cup of tea of 250 ml we require 34 litre of water. This means that tea requires about eight times less water than coffee.

## 1. Introduction

### 1.1. The 'virtual water' content of coffee: How much water is needed to produce coffee?

The roots of coffee consumption are probably in Ethiopia. The coffee tree is said to originate in the province of Kaffa (ICO, 2003). Coffee spread to the different parts of the world in the $17^{\text {th }}$ and $18^{\text {th }}$ century, the period of colonisation. Early $18^{\text {th }}$ century the Dutch colonies had become the main suppliers of coffee to Europe. Today people drink coffee all over the world. The importance of coffee to people cannot easily be overestimated. Coffee is of great economic importance to the producing, mostly developing countries and of considerable social importance to the consuming countries. Coffee is, in dollar terms, the most important agricultural product traded in the world (Dubois, 2001).

Coffee consumption is possible through the use of natural and human resources in the producing countries. One of the natural resources required to make coffee is water. There is a particular water need for growing the coffee plant, but there is also a need for water to process coffee cherries into the final product.

For expressing the total volume of water needed to produce a good, Allan has proposed the concept of 'virtual water' (Allan, 1993; 1994). The virtual water content of a commodity or service is defined as the volume of water required to produce this commodity or service (Allan, 1998, 1999; Hoekstra, 1998). When there is a transfer of a product from one place to another, there is little direct physical transfer of water (apart from the water content of the product, which is quite insignificant in terms of volume). There is however a significant transfer of virtual water. In this way the coffee producing countries export immense volumes of 'virtual water' to the large coffee consuming countries. Import of virtual water into the consuming countries means that these countries indirectly employ the water in the producing countries. Due to the fact that the production of coffee for many people in the world - certainly for the Dutch people - is in a country far off, most people have little idea of the resources needed to enable them to consume. This study is meant to assess the volume of water needed to have the Dutch drink coffee, in order to have concrete figures for creating awareness.

### 1.2. Objectives of the study

This study has three specific objectives:

1. To estimate the virtual water content of coffee imported to the Netherlands, distinguishing between the different sources of the coffee.
2. To quantify the volumes of virtual water trade inflows into and outflows from the Netherlands in the period 1995-99 insofar as they are related to coffee trade.
3. To assess the volume of water needed to drink one cup of coffee in the Netherlands.

## 2. Method

### 2.1. Stages in coffee production

After plantation it takes about 3 to 5 years before the coffee plants give yield. The plant reaches its optimum yield between the sixth and tenth year of life and then gradually diminishes until its fifteenth year, stopping altogether after 40 years (CPC, 2003).

There are two major varieties of coffee: Arabica and Robusta (ICO, 2003). The Arabica plant, Coffea Arabica, is a plant growing at high altitudes, between 800 and 2000 metres, and supplies about 75 per cent of the world coffee. Arabica coffee is grown throughout Latin America, in Central and East Africa, in India and to some extent in Indonesia. The Arabica plant is generally a large bush with dark-green oval leaves. The fruits are oval and mature in 7 to 9 months. The fruits usually contain two seeds, the coffee beans, but sometimes there is just one seed.

Robusta coffee comes from the Coffea Canephora, a plant cultivated from sea level up to 600 metres, mainly in West and Central Africa, throughout South-East Asia and to some extent in Brazil. Robusta coffee accounts for about 25 per cent of the world coffee production. The Robusta plant is a robust shrub or small tree growing up to 10 metres in height, but with a shallow root system. The fruits are rounded and take up to 11 months to mature; the seeds are oval in shape, brownish-yellow in appearance and smaller than those of Coffea Arabica. If compared with Arabica coffee, Robusta coffee is generally considered of inferior quality

Figure 2.1 shows the major areas of coffee production in the world. The annual production of coffee per country during the period 1995-99 is presented in Appendix I.


Figure 2.1. Coffee production in the world (source: FNC, 1996).

The coffee bean is the seed of the coffee tree. The fruits of the coffee tree, with the beans inside, are green at first and become red orange at maturity. The fruits are called cherries due to their colour, shape and size. As shown in schematised form in Figure 2.2, the coffee seeds are inside the cherry in the form of two beans coupled at their flat surface. The beans are covered by a thin membrane or coat. This membrane or seed skin (spermoderm), is referred to in the coffee trade as the 'silver skin'. Each of these coated beans is surrounded by a kind of parchment layer (endocarp or pergamino), very resistant, and golden yellow. The beans with parchment layer are embedded in a layer of sugary mucilaginous fleshy pulp (mesocarp). The outer layer of a cherry is formed by a thin film, the skin (epicarp or esocarpo).


Figure 2.2. The components of a coffee cherry.

Before the seeds of the coffee plant result in a cup of coffee, there are a number of steps. First, the coffee cherries are harvested. Second, the cherries are processed into so-called 'green coffee'. There are basically two processes to process fresh cherries into green coffee: the dry and the wet method, which will be discussed below. The green coffee is processed into roasted coffee through roasting, a heat treatment process to transform the green beans into the aromatic brown coffee we all know. The roasted coffee is the ingredient for making a cup of coffee. Both green coffee and roasted coffee are internationally traded. The different stages in postharvest processing of coffee beans are shown in Figure 2.3.

The dry method, also called the natural method, is the simplest, cheapest and most traditional method of processing coffee. The first step of processing is to dry the cherries, normally in direct sunlight to reduce the moisture content to the optimum of 12.5 per cent. The second step is hulling of the dried cherries, which means that the whole of the dried outer coverings of the original cherries (including the pulp and the parchment layer) are removed, leaving the coffee beans with some silver skin remainders. During the next step, any silver skin that remains on the beans after hulling is removed in a polishing machine. Polishing beans is an optional process that is not always done. Although coffee beans are of a fairly uniform size and proportion, they are graded first by size and then by density. Unhulled beans are removed. The product after polishing, grading and sorting is called 'green coffee'. The green coffee obtained through the dry method is sometimes referred to as 'unwashed coffee' or 'natural coffee'. The dry method is used for about $95 \%$ of the Arabica coffee produced in Brazil, most of the coffees produced in Ethiopia, Haiti and Paraguay, as well as for some Arabicas produced in India and Ecuador. Almost all Robustas are processed through this method (ICO, 2003).

The essential difference between the wet and the dry method is that in the wet method the pulp of the fruit is separated from the beans before the drying stage. The first step in the wet method is washing the cherries to remove waste and then pulping the cherries (removing the pulp) in a dépulpeuse machine, leaving two beans surrounded with their parchment. The pulping generally leaves some residual flesh to the beans as well as the sticky mucilage adhering to the parchment surrounding the beans. In order to be able to remove residual pulp and mucilage, the beans are put in fermentation tanks, accelerating the process of destruction of the residual pulp and mucilage. After fermentation, the beans are washed, leaving so-called 'wet parchment coffee'. After drying this product one has 'dry parchment coffee'. [If people briefly speak of 'parchment coffee' they generally refer to dry parchment coffee.] Hulling is done to remove the dried parchment layer immediately surrounding the beans. The beans are then sieved, polished and sorted out before being put in bags. The coffee at this stage is 'green coffee', in the case of the wet production process also called 'washed coffee'. The wet method is generally used for all the Arabica coffees, with the exception of those produced in Brazil and the Arabicaproducing countries mentioned above as users of the dry method. It is rarely used for Robustas.


Figure 2.3. Post-harvesting steps of coffee production: the dry and the wet method.

### 2.2. Calculating the water required in the different production stages of coffee

The virtual water content of coffee is the volume of water required to produce one unit of coffee, generally expressed as cubic metre of water per ton of coffee. This is different at the different stages of coffee processing. First, the virtual water content of fresh cherries is calculated based on the crop water requirement of the coffee plant (in $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{ha}$ ) and the yield of fresh cherries (in ton/ha). After each processing step, the weight of the remaining product is smaller than the original weight. Following the methodology proposed by Chapagain and Hoekstra (2003a) we define the 'product fraction' $(p f)$ in a certain processing step as the ratio of the weight of the resulting product to the weight of the original product. The virtual water content of the resulting product (expressed in $\mathrm{m}^{3} /$ ton) is larger than the virtual water content of the original product. It can be found by dividing the virtual water content of the original product by the product fraction. If a particular processing step requires water (viz. the processes of pulping, fermentation and washing in the wet production method), the water needed (in $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ per ton of original product) is added to the initial virtual water content of the original product before translating it into a value for the virtual water content of the resulting product. Figure 2.4 shows how the virtual water content of coffee is calculated in its subsequent production stages in the case of the wet production method. As an illustration of the calculation process, Tables 2.1 and 2.2 show examples for Brazil for the wet and the dry production method respectively. Appendices II and III include the calculations for all coffee producing countries from which the Netherlands imports coffee.

### 2.3. Calculating import and export of virtual water into and from the Netherlands as a result of coffee trade

The volume of virtual water imported into the Netherlands (in $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{yr}$ ) as a result of coffee import can be found by multiplying the amount of coffee imported (in ton/ yr ) by the virtual water content of the coffee (in $\mathrm{m}^{3} /$ ton). The latter depends on the form of the imported coffee (green or roasted coffee) and the origin of the coffee. The origin of the coffee is important because coffee production takes more water in some countries if compared to other countries.

The volume of virtual water exported from the Netherlands is calculated by multiplying the export quantity by the average virtual water content of coffee in the Netherlands. The latter is taken as the average virtual water content of the coffee imported into the Netherlands.

The difference between the total virtual water import and the total virtual water export is the net virtual water import to the Netherlands, an indicator for the total amount of water needed to have the Dutch drink coffee.


Figure 2.4. Steps in the calculation of the virtual water content of coffee under the wet production process.

Table 2.1. Calculation of the virtual water content of coffee produced in Brazil with the wet production method.

|  | Variable | Value | Unit | Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | Crop water requirement | 1277 | mm | CROPWAT |
| B | Yield of fresh cherry | 4.22 | ton/ha | Calculated from yield of green coffee given by FAO (2003c) |
| C | Virtual water content of fresh cherries | 3028 | $\mathrm{m}^{3} /$ ton | $\mathrm{C}=10 \times \mathrm{A} / \mathrm{B}$ |
| C1 | Water use for pulping | 7.5 | $\mathrm{m}^{3} /$ ton of fresh cherries | Assumption, based on GTZ (2002b) |
| D | Remaining fraction after pulping | 0.44 | ton/ton | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bressani (2003), GTZ } \\ & \text { (2002a) } \end{aligned}$ |
| E | Virtual water content of pulped cherries | 6899 | $\mathrm{m}^{3} /$ ton | $\mathrm{E}=(\mathrm{C}+\mathrm{C} 1) / \mathrm{D}$ |
| E1 | Water use for soaking and washing | 5 | $\mathrm{m}^{3} /$ ton of pulped cherries | The Roast and Post Coffee Company (2003), GTZ (2002b) |
| F | Remaining fraction after fermentation and washing | 0.9 | ton/ton | Bressani (2003) |
| G | Virtual water content of wet parchment coffee | 7671 | $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{ton}$ | $\mathrm{G}=(\mathrm{E}+\mathrm{E} 1) / \mathrm{F}$ |
| H | Remaining fraction after drying | 0.506 | ton/ton | GTZ (2002c) |
| 1 | Virtual water content dry parchment coffee | 15159 | $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{ton}$ | $\mathrm{I}=\mathrm{G} / \mathrm{H}$ |
| J | Remaining fraction after hulling (removing the parchment layer) | 0.9 | ton/ton | GTZ (2002a) |
| K | Virtual water content of hulled beans | 16844 | $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{ton}$ | $K=I / J$ |
| L | Remaining fraction after polishing, grading and sorting | 0.89 | ton/ton | GTZ (2002a) |
| N | Virtual water content of green coffee | 18925 | $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{ton}$ | $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{K} / \mathrm{L}$ |
| 0 | Remaining fraction after roasting | 0.84 | ton/ton | GTZ (2002a), Hicks (2001), ICO (2003), Sovrana(2003) |
| P | Virtual water content of roasted coffee | 22530 | $\mathrm{m}^{3} /$ ton | $\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{O}$ |

Table 2.2. Calculation of the virtual water content of coffee produced in Brazil with the dry production method.

|  | Variable | Value | Unit | Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | Crop water requirement | 1277 | mm | CROPWAT |
| B | Yield of fresh cherry | 4.22 | ton/ha | From Table 2.1 |
| C | Virtual water content of fresh cherries | 3028 | $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ /ton | $\mathrm{C}=10 \times \mathrm{A} / \mathrm{B}$ |
| D | Remaining fraction after drying | 0.36 | ton/ton | Hicks (2001) |
| E | Virtual water content of dried cherries | 8395 | $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ /ton | E=C/D |
| F | Remaining fraction after hulling (removing pulp and parchment) | 0.5 | ton/ton | Hicks (2001) |
| G | Virtual water content of hulled beans | 16790 | $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{ton}$ | $\mathrm{G}=\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{F}$ |
| H | Remaining fraction after polishing, grading and sorting | 0.89 | ton/ton | GTZ (2002a) |
| 1 | Virtual water content of green coffee | 18865 | $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ /ton | $\mathrm{I}=\mathrm{G} / \mathrm{H}$ |
| J | Remaining fraction after roasting | 0.84 | ton/ton | GTZ (2002a), Hicks (2001), ICO (2003), Sovrana(2003) |
| K | Virtual water content of roasted coffee | 22458 | $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ /ton | $\mathrm{K}=\mathrm{I} / \mathrm{J}$ |

## 3. Data sources

### 3.1. Climate data and crop parameters

The annual crop water requirement of a coffee plant is calculated per country using the CROPWAT model developed by the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO, 2003a). The crop coefficients for coffee have been taken from Allen et al. (1998, Table 12). The climate data required as input into the CROPWAT model have been taken from the CLIMWAT database (FAO, 2003b). In the cases where this database contains data for a number of climate stations within a country, we have taken the data from the station in the capital. We admit that this is a crude assumption, because the climate near the capital is not necessarily representative for the climate in the areas in the country where coffee is grown, but global data on exact locations of coffee plantations are not easily obtainable.

### 3.2. Coffee yields

Country-specific data on coffee production per unit of land (ton/ha) have been obtained from the FAOSTAT database (FAO, 2003c). The figures provided in the database refer to yields in terms of green coffee. Yields in terms of fresh cherries can be calculated based on the ratio of green coffee weight to fresh cherry weight (using the production factors as explained in Section 2.2).

### 3.3. Coffee trade

Data on coffee trade have been taken from the Personal Computer Trade Analysis System (PC-TAS), a cd-rom produced by the United Nations Statistics Division (UNSD) in New York in collaboration with the International Trade Centre (ITC) in Geneva. These data are based on the Commodity Trade Statistics Data Base (COMTRADE) of the UNSD. Individual countries supply the UNSD with their annual international trade statistics, detailed by commodity and partner country. We have used the data available for the period 1995-99.

The total volume of coffee imported into the Netherlands and the total volume of coffee exported are presented in Table 3.1. The data are given for four different coffee products: non-decaffeinated non-roasted coffee, decaffeinated non-roasted coffee, non-decaffeinated roasted coffee and decaffeinated roasted coffee. The term 'non-roasted coffee' in PC-TAS refers to what is generally called 'green coffee'. The list of countries exporting coffee to the Netherlands is presented in Appendix IV. Please note that some of the countries exporting coffee to the Netherlands do not grow coffee themselves. These countries import the coffee from elsewhere in order to further trade it. The list of countries importing coffee from the Netherlands is presented in Appendix V.

Table 3.1. Coffee import into and export from the Netherlands by product type during the period 1995-99.

| Product code in PC-TAS | Product | Import (ton/yr) | Export (ton/yr) |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 090111 | Coffee, not roasted, not decaffeinated | 135381 | 7252 |
| 090112 | Coffee, not roasted, decaffeinated | 5331 | 731 |
| 090121 | Coffee, roasted, not decaffeinated | 22020 | 7229 |
| 090122 | Coffee, roasted, decaffeinated | 3887 | 1444 |
| Total | 166620 | 16656 |  |

### 3.4. Production factors

From fresh cherries to green coffee the weight is reduced to about 16 per cent of the original weight, due to removing pulp and parchment, reduction in moisture content and sorting out of low-quality beans (GTZ, 2002a).

The weight reduction occurs in steps. In the wet production method, only $44 \%$ of the fresh cherry remains after pulping (Bressani, 2003), $90 \%$ of the pulped cherry remains after fermentation and washing (Bressani, 2003), $51 \%$ of the wet parchment coffee remains after drying (GTZ, 2002c) and $80 \%$ of the dry parchment coffee remains after hulling, polishing and sorting (GTZ, 2002a). In the dry production method, about $36 \%$ of the fresh cherry remains after drying (Hicks, 2001), $50 \%$ of the dried cherry remains after hulling (Hicks, 2001) and $89 \%$ of the hulled beans remains after polishing and sorting.

From green coffee to roasted coffee there is another weight reduction, due to reduction in moisture content. The remaining fraction after roasting is generally reported to be $84 \%$ of the original green coffee (GTZ, 2002a; ICO, 2003; Hicks, 2001; Sovrana, 2003).

### 3.5. Water requirements in the wet production method

The wet production method requires water both for the pulping process and the fermentation and washing process. The total amount of water needed ranges between 1 and $15 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ per ton of cherry (GTZ, 2002b). In this study we crudely assume that $7.5 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ of water per ton of fresh cherry is needed in the pulping process and that 5 $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ of water per ton of pulped cherry is needed in the fermentation and washing process (The Roast and Post Coffee Company, 2003). If we bring these two numbers into one denominator, this is equivalent to about $10 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ of water per ton of cherry. We will see later that the overall result of the study, the estimated total water needs for making coffee, are not sensitive to the assumptions made here.

## 4. Virtual water content of coffee per producing country

Detailed calculations of the virtual water content of green coffee and roasted coffee are given in Appendix II for the wet production method and in Appendix III for the dry production method. The differences between the two production methods in terms of total water needs are very small. The virtual water content of green coffee is $17.63 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{kg}$ for the wet production method, whereas it is $17.57 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{kg}$ for the dry production method (global averages). The water needs for roasted coffee are 20.98 and $20.92 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{kg}$ respectively. Most water is needed for growing the coffee plant. In the wet production method, only $0.34 \%$ of the total water need refers to process water. The results are summarised in Table 4.1. The table only shows the coffee-producing countries that export coffee to the Netherlands. These countries together are responsible for 84 per cent of the global coffee production. The data on yields and production are averages for period 1995-99 and have been taken from Appendix I. For the data on virtual water content we have taken the wet-production data, because the wet production method is most frequently applied and the differences with the dry-production data are small.

Table 4.1. Virtual water content of coffee per coffee-producing country.

| Countries | Crop water <br> requirement | Yield of <br> green <br> coffee | Virtual water <br> content of <br> green coffee | Virtual water <br> content of <br> roasted coffee | Average <br> production <br> (1995-99) | Relative weight <br> in production |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ton/ha | $\mathrm{m}^{3} /$ ton | $\mathrm{m}^{3} /$ ton | ton/yr |  |  |
| Brazil | 1277 | 0.68 | 18925 | 22530 | 1370232 | 0.262 |
| Colombia | 893 | 0.74 | 12139 | 14451 | 689688 | 0.132 |
| Indonesia | 1455 | 0.55 | 26650 | 31727 | 466214 | 0.089 |
| Vietnam | 938 | 1.87 | 5086 | 6054 | 384220 | 0.073 |
| Mexico | 1122 | 0.46 | 24347 | 28985 | 329297 | 0.063 |
| Guatemala | 1338 | 0.90 | 14940 | 17786 | 240222 | 0.046 |
| Uganda | 1440 | 0.84 | 17139 | 20404 | 229190 | 0.044 |
| Ethiopia | 1151 | 0.91 | 12749 | 15177 | 227078 | 0.043 |
| India | 754 | 0.81 | 9312 | 11086 | 220200 | 0.042 |
| Costa Rica | 1227 | 1.47 | 8424 | 10028 | 157188 | 0.030 |
| Honduras | 1483 | 0.78 | 19028 | 22652 | 154814 | 0.030 |
| El Salvador | 1417 | 0.85 | 16789 | 19987 | 138121 | 0.026 |
| Ecuador | 1033 | 0.32 | 32616 | 38828 | 121476 | 0.023 |
| Peru | 994 | 0.61 | 16335 | 19446 | 116177 | 0.022 |
| Thailand | 1556 | 1.12 | 13993 | 16658 | 75814 | 0.015 |
| Venezuela | 1261 | 0.35 | 35923 | 42766 | 67802 | 0.013 |
| Nicaragua | 1661 | 0.73 | 22797 | 27139 | 65373 | 0.013 |
| Madagascar | 1164 | 0.33 | 35521 | 42287 | 63200 | 0.012 |
| Tanzania | 1422 | 0.38 | 37219 | 44308 | 44540 | 0.009 |
| Bolivia | 1093 | 0.94 | 11733 | 13968 | 22613 | 0.004 |
| Togo | 0.34 | 41447 | 49341 | 14416 | 0.003 |  |
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| Countries | Crop water requirement | Yield of green coffee | Virtual water content of green coffee | Virtual water content of roasted coffee | Average production (1995-99) | Relative weight in production |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | mm | ton/ha | $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ /ton | $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ /ton | ton/yr |  |
| Sri Lanka | 1426 | 0.68 | 21115 | 25137 | 11133 | 0.002 |
| Panama | 1294 | 0.41 | 31634 | 37660 | 10726 | 0.002 |
| Ghana | 1381 | 0.35 | 39946 | 47554 | 4909 | 0.001 |
| USA | 938 | 1.24 | 7611 | 9061 | 2924 | 0.001 |
| Total production in the countries listed ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  | 5227567 |  |
| Total production in the world ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  | 6201976 |  |
| Average virtual water content ${ }^{3}$ |  |  | 17629 | 20987 |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ The table includes only countries exporting coffee to the Netherlands.
${ }^{2}$ See Appendix I.
${ }^{3}$ Country figures have been weighted based on the share of each country in the total coffee production.

## 5. The total volume of water needed to have the Dutch drink coffee

### 5.1. Virtual water import related to coffee import

The virtual water import to the Netherlands as a result of coffee import amounts to $2953 \mathrm{Mm}^{3} / \mathrm{yr}$, which is about 4 percent of the annual Rhine river runoff or 40 percent of the annual runoff of the Meuse! Brazil and Colombia together are responsible for 25 percent of this import. Other important sources are Guatemala (5\%), El Salvador (5\%) and Indonesia (4\%). A large part of the coffee import comes from the non-coffee-producing countries Belgium and Germany ( $34 \%$ in total). It is difficult to trace the original source of this Belgian and German coffee. For the coffee imported from countries that do not produce coffee themselves, we have taken the global average virtual water content of coffee as given in Table 4.1.

Detailed calculations of virtual water import into the Netherlands as a result of coffee import can be found in Appendix IV. The total import of green coffee over the period 1995-99 amounts to $141 \times 10^{3}$ ton/yr. The import of roasted coffee is $26 \times 10^{3}$ ton $/ \mathrm{yr}$. The average virtual water content of coffee imported into the Netherlands is $17.1 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ per kg of green coffee and $20.4 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ per kg of roasted coffee. These figures are very close to the average global virtual water content of green and roasted coffee respectively.


Figure 5.1. Virtual water import to the Netherlands related to coffee imports. The greener the area the more the import to the Netherlands.

### 5.2. Virtual water export related to coffee export

As the Netherlands does not grow coffee itself, the virtual water content of the coffee exported from the Netherlands is taken as equal to the average virtual water content of the coffee imported into the Netherlands. The total virtual water export from the Netherlands as a result of coffee export is $314 \mathrm{Mm}^{3} / \mathrm{yr}$. The largest
importers of virtual water from the Netherlands are: Belgium-Luxemburg (23\%), United Kingdom (20\%), Germany ( $18 \%$ ), and France ( $12 \%$ ). The detailed calculations of virtual water export from the Netherlands in relation to coffee export are given in Appendix V.

### 5.3. Net virtual water import related to coffee trade

The virtual water balance of the Netherlands related to coffee trade is presented in Table 5.1 along with the virtual water balances related to the trade in crops and livestock products. The figures show that coffee trade alone is responsible for nearly 10 per cent of the net virtual water import into the Netherlands related to the trade in agricultural products.

Table 5.1. Virtual water imports into and exports from the Netherlands related to trade in coffee, crops and livestock products in the period 1995-99.

|  | Gross import <br> of virtual water <br> $\left(\mathrm{Mm}^{3} / \mathrm{yr}\right)$ | Gross export of <br> virtual water <br> $\left(\mathrm{Mm}^{3} / \mathrm{yr}\right)$ | Net import of <br> virtual water <br> $\left(\mathrm{Mm}^{3} / \mathrm{yr}\right)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Related to coffee trade | 2953 | 314 | 2639 |
| Related to crop trade $^{1}$ | 35002 | 5462 | 29540 |
| Related to trade in livestock and livestock products $^{2}$ | 8527 | 13344 | -4817 |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 6 4 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 1 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 3 6 2}$ |

${ }^{1}$ Hoekstra and Hung (2002, 2003).
${ }^{2}$ Chapagain and Hoekstra (2003a).

## 6. The water needed to drink one cup of coffee

The quantity of roasted coffee per cup of coffee is not a fixed figure. It is differs among people. The Speciality Coffee Association of America (SCAA) suggests 10 gram per cup as the proper measure for brewed coffee if using the American standards. If using Euro standards the measure is 7 gram per cup (Coffeefaq, 2003). Van Wieringen, (2001) suggests 5 grams of coffee per cup. The standard volume of a cup of coffee is 125 ml .

For the calculation of the virtual water content of a standard cup of coffee, we have taken 7 gram of roasted coffee for a cup of 125 ml . Based on an average virtual water content in roasted coffee of $20.4 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{kg}$, this means that producing one cup of coffee requires about 140 litres of water in total. This is of course more or less depending on the strength of the coffee preferred. The results are presented in Table 6.1.

For making one kilogram of soluble coffee powder, one needs 2.3 kg of green coffee (Rosenblatt et al., 2003). That means that the virtual water content of instant coffee is about $39400 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{ton}$. This is much higher than in the case of roasted coffee, but for making one cup of instant coffee one needs a relatively small weight of coffee powder (about 2 gram). Surprisingly, the virtual water content of a cup of instant coffee is thus lower than the virtual water content of a cup of normal coffee.

The figures presented for the Netherlands here are quite representative for the global average, so the figures can be cited in more general terms as well.

Table 6.1. The virtual water content of one cup of coffee in the Netherlands.

|  | Virtual water content |  | One cup of coffee (125 ml) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Roasted <br> coffee | Soluble <br> coffee <br> powder | Coffee <br> content | Real water <br> content | Virtual water <br> content |
|  | $\mathrm{m}^{3} /$ ton | $\mathrm{m}^{3} /$ ton | gram/cup | litre/cup | litre/cup |
| Standard cup of coffee | 20400 |  | 7 | 0.125 | 140 |
| Weak coffee | 20400 |  | 5 | 0.125 | 100 |
| Strong coffee | 20400 |  | 10 | 0.125 | 200 |
| Instant coffee |  | 39400 | 2 | 0.125 | 80 |

## 7. Conclusion

In order to drink one standard cup of coffee in the Netherlands we need about 140 litres of water, by far the largest part for growing the coffee plant. This means that we need about 14 buckets of water for one cup of coffee. A standard cup of coffee is 125 ml , which means that we need more than 1100 drops of water for producing one drop of coffee.

The total coffee consumption in the Netherlands requires 2.6 billion cubic metres of water per year, which is equal to $36 \%$ of the annual Meuse flow. The Dutch people account for $2.4 \%$ of the world coffee consumption. All together, the world population requires about 110 billion cubic metres of water per year in order to be able to drink coffee. This is equivalent to 15 times the annual Meuse runoff, or 1.5 times the annual Rhine runoff.

The water needed to drink coffee in the Netherlands is actually not Dutch water, because the coffee is produced in Latin America (Brazil, Colombia, Guatemala, El Salvador, Mexico, Costa Rica, Nicaragua, Peru, Honduras, etc.), Africa (Togo, Tanzania, Uganda) and Asia (Indonesia, India). The most important sources are Brazil and Colombia. There is also a large amount of coffee imported from Belgium and Germany, but these countries do not produce the coffee themselves and are merely intermediate countries, where coffee is just transited or upgraded from green to roasted coffee.

The water needed to make coffee depends particularly on the climate at the place of production and the yields per hectare that are obtained. The latter partly depends on the climatic conditions, but also on soil conditions and management practice. For the overall water needs, it makes hardly any difference whether the dry or the wet production process is applied, because the water used in the wet production process is only a very small fraction $(0.34 \%)$ of the water used to grow the coffee plant. However, this relatively small amount of water can be and actually often is a problem, because this is water to be obtained from surface or groundwater, which is sometimes scarcely available. Besides, the wastewater from the coffee factories is often heavily polluted (GTZ, 2002b). The large volume of water to grow the coffee plant comes from rainwater, which is another source, where there is less competition between alternative uses than in the case of surface or groundwater.

Drinking tea instead of coffee would save a lot of water. In another study we have estimated that for a standard cup of tea of 250 ml we require 34 litre of water (Chapagain and Hoekstra, 2003b). This means that for a certain volume of tea we need eight times less water than for the same volume of coffee.
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Appendix I. Green coffee production by country (1995-99). Source: FAO (2003c).

| Country |  | Production (ton/yr) |  |  |  |  | Average annual production (ton/yr) | Yield (ton/ha) |  |  |  |  | Average yield (ton/ha) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 |  | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 |  |
| 1 | Brazil | 930135 | 1369196 | 1228513 | 1689366 | 1633950 | 1370232 | 0.50 | 0.71 | 0.62 | 0.82 | 0.74 | 0.68 |
| 2 | Colombia | 821820 | 671401 | 642239 | 766980 | 546000 | 689688 | 0.82 | 0.67 | 0.64 | 0.95 | 0.63 | 0.74 |
| 3 | Indonesia | 450369 | 462300 | 426800 | 498200 | 493400 | 466214 | 0.53 | 0.55 | 0.51 | 0.59 | 0.55 | 0.55 |
| 4 | Viet Nam | 218000 | 320100 | 420500 | 409300 | 553200 | 384220 | 1.41 | 1.55 | 2.41 | 1.91 | 2.05 | 1.87 |
| 5 | Mexico | 324526 | 374153 | 368315 | 277372 | 302119 | 329297 | 0.45 | 0.50 | 0.53 | 0.41 | 0.42 | 0.46 |
| 6 | Côte d'Ivoire | 194968 | 167786 | 279219 | 341000 | 307331 | 258061 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.34 | 0.39 | 0.38 | 0.31 |
| 7 | Guatemala | 210920 | 213188 | 248460 | 235020 | 293520 | 240222 | 0.79 | 0.80 | 0.92 | 0.90 | 1.08 | 0.90 |
| 8 | Uganda | 181465 | 287925 | 219624 | 205056 | 251881 | 229190 | 0.69 | 1.03 | 0.81 | 0.77 | 0.92 | 0.84 |
| 9 | Ethiopia | 229980 | 229980 | 228000 | 229980 | 217450 | 227078 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.91 | 0.92 | 0.87 | 0.91 |
| 10 | India | 180000 | 223000 | 205000 | 228000 | 265000 | 220200 | 0.65 | 0.81 | 0.85 | 0.81 | 0.95 | 0.81 |
| 11 | Costa Rica | 150061 | 154131 | 146825 | 171000 | 163925 | 157188 | 1.39 | 1.43 | 1.36 | 1.61 | 1.55 | 1.47 |
| 12 | Honduras | 132400 | 148830 | 162658 | 172772 | 157409 | 154814 | 0.72 | 0.71 | 0.84 | 0.87 | 0.77 | 0.78 |
| 13 | El Salvador | 139513 | 148859 | 124239 | 117214 | 160782 | 138121 | 0.86 | 0.91 | 0.76 | 0.72 | 0.99 | 0.85 |
| 14 | Philippines | 133922 | 118992 | 130000 | 122200 | 117361 | 124495 | 0.93 | 0.79 | 0.87 | 0.82 | 0.78 | 0.84 |
| 15 | Ecuador | 148205 | 190696 | 87350 | 48190 | 132939 | 121476 | 0.39 | 0.50 | 0.23 | 0.12 | 0.35 | 0.32 |
| 16 | Peru | 96697 | 106520 | 112890 | 119905 | 144872 | 116177 | 0.59 | 0.60 | 0.61 | 0.64 | 0.61 | 0.61 |
| 17 | Cameroon | 74000 | 104121 | 55261 | 112532 | 98000 | 88783 | 0.30 | 0.39 | 0.18 | 0.38 | 0.33 | 0.31 |
| 18 | Kenya | 95400 | 97976 | 68642 | 53715 | 70900 | 77327 | 0.59 | 0.55 | 0.39 | 0.30 | 0.40 | 0.45 |
| 19 | Thailand | 86233 | 75856 | 83897 | 78214 | 54871 | 75814 | 1.21 | 1.09 | 1.24 | 1.20 | 0.84 | 1.12 |
| 20 | Papua New Guinea | 59589 | 65091 | 64524 | 80940 | 83040 | 70637 | 1.19 | 1.12 | 0.74 | 0.93 | 0.95 | 0.99 |
| 21 | Venezuela | 65088 | 69422 | 57804 | 66840 | 79854 | 67802 | 0.36 | 0.38 | 0.32 | 0.33 | 0.36 | 0.35 |
| 22 | Congo, Dem Republic of | 84714 | 73975 | 70299 | 57446 | 48712 | 67029 | 0.37 | 0.32 | 0.37 | 0.37 | 0.32 | 0.35 |
| 23 | Nicaragua | 54587 | 49900 | 65169 | 65420 | 91791 | 65373 | 0.65 | 0.59 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 1.01 | 0.73 |
| 24 | Madagascar | 68000 | 68000 | 55000 | 60000 | 65000 | 63200 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.29 | 0.31 | 0.34 | 0.33 |
| 25 | Tanzania | 41971 | 52490 | 43568 | 38002 | 46670 | 44540 | 0.35 | 0.42 | 0.40 | 0.35 | 0.40 | 0.38 |
| 26 | Dominican Republic | 44877 | 41641 | 41682 | 56943 | 34609 | 43950 | 0.29 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.41 | 0.25 | 0.32 |


| Country |  | Production (ton/yr) |  |  |  |  | Average annual production (ton/yr) | Yield (ton/ha) |  |  |  |  | Average yield (ton/ha) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 |  | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 |  |
| 27 | Haiti | 29000 | 27000 | 27000 | 27239 | 28000 | 27648 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.52 | 0.50 |
| 28 | Sierra Leone | 25025 | 25000 | 30700 | 26000 | 15350 | 24415 | 1.79 | 1.79 | 2.19 | 1.86 | 1.10 | 1.74 |
| 29 <br> 30 | Burundi | 25516 | 26875 | 20195 | 17035 | 30000 | 23924 | 0.73 | 0.79 | 0.70 | 0.61 | 0.94 | 0.75 |
| 30 | Bolivia | 20309 | 22035 | 23420 | 24333 | 22968 | 22613 | 0.86 | 0.93 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 0.93 | 0.94 |
| 31 | Guinea | 28000 | 22750 | 20000 | 20888 | 20888 | 22505 | 0.51 | 0.41 | 0.40 | 0.42 | 0.42 | 0.43 |
| 32 | Cuba | 17100 | 16680 | 19980 | 13500 | 22020 | 17856 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.24 | 0.19 | 0.26 | 0.22 |
| 33 | Rwanda | 21952 | 15285 | 14830 | 14268 | 18800 | 17027 | 1.10 | 0.76 | 0.74 | 0.57 | 0.70 | 0.78 |
| 34 <br> 35 | Togo | 12080 | 22400 | 10600 | 20000 | 7000 | 14416 | 0.25 | 0.46 | 0.22 | 0.41 | 0.35 | 0.34 |
| 35 <br> 36 | Laos | 8576 | 10020 | 12300 | 16999 | 17530 | 13085 | 0.43 | 0.43 | 0.53 | 0.59 | 0.60 | 0.52 |
| 36 | Central African Republic | 9000 | 18000 | 15000 | 12037 | 11260 | 13059 | 0.47 | 0.72 | 0.60 | 0.48 | 0.45 | 0.54 |
| 37 | Puerto Rico | 12701 | 12175 | 11567 | 13393 | 12800 | 12527 | 0.39 | 0.38 | 0.35 | 0.44 | 0.42 | 0.40 |
| 38 <br> 39 | Malaysia | 11300 | 11500 | 11700 | 12000 | 13000 | 11900 | 0.76 | 0.77 | 0.78 | 0.77 | 0.79 | 0.77 |
| 39 | Sri Lanka | 11481 | 11760 | 11348 | 10498 | 10580 | 11133 | 0.69 | 0.71 | 0.69 | 0.65 | 0.65 | 0.68 |
| 40 <br> 41 | Panama | 11067 | 10478 | 9893 | 10800 | 11390 | 10726 | 0.44 | 0.43 | 0.38 | 0.45 | 0.35 | 0.41 |
| 41 <br> 42 | Yemen | 8993 | 10600 | 10325 | 11283 | 11182 | 10477 | 0.33 | 0.36 | 0.33 | 0.35 | 0.34 | 0.34 |
| 42 <br> 43 | Zimbabwe | 7860 | 11500 | 9300 | 10000 | 10000 | 9732 | 1.57 | 1.77 | 1.43 | 1.47 | 1.47 | 1.54 |
| 43 <br> 44 | Paraguay | 4008 | 4024 | 4823 | 4750 | 7885 | 5098 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.80 | 0.79 | 0.79 | 0.75 |
| 44 | Ghana | 3000 | 6330 | 2880 | 8370 | 3965 | 4909 | 0.30 | 0.42 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.21 | 0.35 |
| 45 <br> 46 | China | 3196 | 3023 | 3573 | 6237 | 7000 | 4606 | 0.53 | 0.55 | 0.48 | 1.42 | 1.40 | 0.88 |
| 46 | Malawi | 5460 | 4797 | 4552 | 3840 | 3540 | 4438 | 1.37 | 1.23 | 1.23 | 1.20 | 1.18 | 1.24 |
| 47 | Nigeria | 3900 | 4100 | 4000 | 4000 | 4000 | 4000 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
| 48 | Equatorial Guinea | 4500 | 4000 | 3400 | 3300 | 3500 | 3740 | 0.37 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.37 | 0.36 |
| 49 | Angola | 3300 | 2820 | 3840 | 5100 | 3300 | 3672 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.04 |
| 50 <br> 51 | Liberia | 3000 | 3000 | 3000 | 3000 | 3000 | 3000 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 |
| 51 <br> 52 | United States of America | 1960 | 2320 | 3260 | 3450 | 3630 | 2924 | 0.90 | 1.08 | 1.44 | 1.40 | 1.40 | 1.24 |
| 52 <br> 53 | Zambia | 1620 | 2100 | 2400 | 3660 | 2940 | 2544 | 0.90 | 1.05 | 1.09 | 1.22 | 1.05 | 1.06 |
| ¢53 | Jamaica | 2580 | 2580 | 2887 | 1740 | 2400 | 2437 | 0.47 | 0.46 | 0.51 | 0.44 | 0.48 | 0.47 |
| 54 | Myanmar | 1550 | 1550 | 1696 | 1913 | 1714 | 1685 | 0.42 | 0.42 | 0.41 | 0.47 | 0.41 | 0.43 |


| Country |  | Production (ton/yr) |  |  |  |  | Average annual production (ton/yr) | Yield (ton/ha) |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Average } \\ \text { yield } \\ \text { (ton/ha) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 |  | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 |  |
| 55 | Congo, Republic of | 1606 | 1600 | 1338 | 850 | 1490 | 1377 | 0.46 | 0.47 | 0.42 | 0.27 | 0.30 | 0.38 |
| 56 | Mozambique | 900 | 950 | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 | 970 | 0.69 | 0.70 | 0.71 | 0.71 | 0.71 | 0.71 |
| 57 | Trinidad and Tobago | 831 | 352 | 1102 | 367 | 343 | 599 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.18 | 0.13 | 0.12 | 0.14 |
| 58 | Dominica | 350 | 360 | 360 | 360 | 370 | 360 | 0.86 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.89 | 0.88 |
| 59 | Cambodia | 200 | 250 | 280 | 290 | 300 | 264 | 0.78 | 0.83 | 0.80 | 0.81 | 0.82 | 0.81 |
| 60 | Guyana | 300 | 285 | 261 | 136 | 140 | 224 | 0.60 | 0.57 | 0.50 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 0.49 |
| 61 | Benin | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 |
| 62 | Saint Vincent/Grenadines | 160 | 160 | 160 | 160 | 170 | 162 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.40 | 0.38 |
| 63 | Gabon | 159 | 219 | 154 | 120 | 120 | 154 | 0.27 | 0.37 | 0.26 | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.27 |
| 64 | Comoros | 92 | 94 | 94 | 96 | 96 | 94 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.15 |
| 65 | Belize |  |  |  | 93 | 70 | 54 |  |  |  | 3.88 | 1.75 | 1.13 |
| 66 | Vanuatu | 30 | 40 | 40 | 50 | 50 | 42 | 0.75 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.83 | 0.83 | 0.80 |
| 67 | Sao Tome and Principe | 17 | 21 | 45 | 50 | 58 | 38 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.14 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.11 |
| 68 | Martinique | 35 | 35 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 38 | 2.50 | 2.50 | 2.50 | 2.50 | 2.50 | 2.50 |
| 69 | New Caledonia | 44 | 37 | 25 | 43 | 39 | 38 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.13 |
| 70 | Nepal | 20 | 29 | 37 | 56 | 45 | 37 | 0.10 | 0.13 | 0.14 | 0.21 | 0.16 | 0.15 |
| 71 | Suriname | 50 | 45 | 33 | 11 | 10 | 30 | 0.26 | 0.20 | 0.14 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.14 |
| 72 | Guadeloupe | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.23 |
| 73 | Cook Islands | 15 | 20 | 15 | 10 | 5 | 13 | 0.75 | 1.33 | 1.00 | 0.67 | 0.33 | 0.82 |
| 74 | French Polynesia | 10 | 10 | 11 | 16 | 18 | 13 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.22 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 |
| 75 | Samoa | 13 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 11 | 0.19 | 0.20 | 0.22 | 0.25 | 0.27 | 0.23 |
| 76 | Fiji Islands | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
|  | Total |  |  |  |  |  | 6201976 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Appendix II. Virtual water content of coffee produced with the wet production method by country of origin.

| Countries | Crop water requirement | Yield of fresh cherry | Virtual water content of cherry | pf | Virtual water content of pulped cherry | Water used for pulping, soaking \& washing | pf | Virtual water content of wet parchment coffee | pf | Virtual water content of dry parchment coffee | pf | Virtual water content of hulled beans | pf | Yield of green coffee | Virtual water content of green coffee | pf | Virtual water content of roasted coffee | Production |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | mm | ton/ha | $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ /ton | ton/ton | $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{ton}$ | $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{ton}$ | ton/ton | $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{ton}$ | ton/ton | $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{ton}$ | ton/tn | $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{ton}$ | ton/ton | ton/ha | $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ /ton | ton/tn | $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{ton}$ | ton/yr |
|  | A | B | C | D | E | E1 | F | G | H | 1 | J | K | L | M | N | 0 | P | Q |
| Brazil | 1277 | 4.22 | 3028 | 0.44 | 6882 | 22 | 0.90 | 7671 | 0.506 | 15159 | 0.90 | 16844 | 0.89 | 0.677 | 18925 | 0.84 | 22530 | 1370232 |
| Colombia | 893 | 4.61 | 1939 | 0.44 | 4406 | 22 | 0.90 | 4920 | 0.506 | 9723 | 0.90 | 10803 | 0.89 | 0.74 | 12139 | 0.84 | 14451 | 689688 |
| Indonesia | 1455 | 3.41 | 4268 | 0.44 | 9699 | 22 | 0.90 | 10802 | 0.506 | 21347 | 0.90 | 23719 | 0.89 | 0.55 | 26650 | 0.84 | 31727 | 466214 |
| Vietnam | 938 | 11.63 | 807 | 0.44 | 1833 | 22 | 0.90 | 2061 | 0.506 | 4074 | 0.90 | 4526 | 0.89 | 1.87 | 5086 | 0.84 | 6054 | 384220 |
| Mexico | 1122 | 2.88 | 3898 | 0.44 | 8859 | 22 | 0.90 | 9868 | 0.506 | 19502 | 0.90 | 21669 | 0.89 | 0.46 | 24347 | 0.84 | 28985 | 329297 |
| Guatamala | 1338 | 5.60 | 2388 | 0.44 | 5428 | 22 | 0.90 | 6055 | 0.506 | 11967 | 0.90 | 13296 | 0.89 | 0.90 | 14940 | 0.84 | 17786 | 240222 |
| Uganda | 1440 | 5.25 | 2741 | 0.44 | 6230 | 22 | 0.90 | 6947 | 0.506 | 13729 | 0.90 | 15254 | 0.89 | 0.84 | 17139 | 0.84 | 20404 | 229190 |
| Ethiopia | 1151 | 5.65 | 2036 | 0.44 | 4628 | 22 | 0.90 | 5167 | 0.506 | 10212 | 0.90 | 11346 | 0.89 | 0.91 | 12749 | 0.84 | 15177 | 227078 |
| India | 754 | 5.08 | 1485 | 0.44 | 3375 | 22 | 0.90 | 3774 | 0.506 | 7459 | 0.90 | 8288 | 0.89 | 0.81 | 9312 | 0.84 | 11086 | 220200 |
| Costarica | 1227 | 9.14 | 1342 | 0.44 | 3051 | 22 | 0.90 | 3414 | 0.506 | 6748 | 0.90 | 7497 | 0.89 | 1.47 | 8424 | 0.84 | 10028 | 157188 |
| Hondurus | 1483 | 4.87 | 3044 | 0.44 | 6919 | 22 | 0.90 | 7712 | 0.506 | 15241 | 0.90 | 16935 | 0.89 | 0.78 | 19028 | 0.84 | 22652 | 154814 |
| El Salvador | 1417 | 5.28 | 2685 | 0.44 | 6102 | 22 | 0.90 | 6805 | 0.506 | 13448 | 0.90 | 14942 | 0.89 | 0.85 | 16789 | 0.84 | 19987 | 138121 |
| Ecuador | 1033 | 1.98 | 5225 | 0.44 | 11875 | 22 | 0.90 | 13219 | 0.506 | 26125 | 0.90 | 29028 | 0.89 | 0.32 | 32616 | 0.84 | 38828 | 121476 |
| Peru | 994 | 3.80 | 2612 | 0.44 | 5937 | 22 | 0.90 | 6621 | 0.506 | 13084 | 0.90 | 14538 | 0.89 | 0.61 | 16335 | 0.84 | 19446 | 116177 |
| Thailand | 1556 | 6.96 | 2236 | 0.44 | 5082 | 22 | 0.90 | 5671 | 0.506 | 11208 | 0.90 | 12453 | 0.89 | 1.12 | 13993 | 0.84 | 16658 | 75814 |
| Venezuala | 1261 | 2.19 | 5756 | 0.44 | 13082 | 22 | 0.90 | 14560 | 0.506 | 28775 | 0.90 | 31972 | 0.89 | 0.35 | 35923 | 0.84 | 42766 | 67802 |
| Nicaraguwa | 1661 | 4.55 | 3649 | 0.44 | 8294 | 22 | 0.90 | 9240 | 0.506 | 18260 | 0.90 | 20289 | 0.89 | 0.73 | 22797 | 0.84 | 27139 | 65373 |
| Madagascar | 1164 | 2.04 | 5692 | 0.44 | 12935 | 22 | 0.90 | 14397 | 0.506 | 28453 | 0.90 | 31614 | 0.89 | 0.33 | 35521 | 0.84 | 42287 | 63200 |
| Tanzania | 1422 | 2.38 | 5964 | 0.44 | 13555 | 22 | 0.90 | 15085 | 0.506 | 29812 | 0.90 | 33125 | 0.89 | 0.38 | 37219 | 0.84 | 44308 | 44540 |
| Bolivia | 1093 | 5.84 | 1874 | 0.44 | 4258 | 22 | 0.90 | 4756 | 0.506 | 9398 | 0.90 | 10443 | 0.89 | 0.94 | 11733 | 0.84 | 13968 | 22613 |
| Togo | 1409 | 2.12 | 6643 | 0.44 | 15097 | 22 | 0.90 | 16799 | 0.506 | 33199 | 0.90 | 36887 | 0.89 | 0.34 | 41447 | 0.84 | 49341 | 14416 |
| Sri Lanka | 1426 | 4.22 | 3379 | 0.44 | 7680 | 22 | 0.90 | 8558 | 0.506 | 16913 | 0.90 | 18793 | 0.89 | 0.68 | 21115 | 0.84 | 25137 | 11133 |
| Panama | 1294 | 2.55 | 5068 | 0.44 | 11517 | 22 | 0.90 | 12822 | 0.506 | 25339 | 0.90 | 28155 | 0.89 | 0.41 | 31634 | 0.84 | 37660 | 10726 |
| Ghana | 1381 | 2.16 | 6402 | 0.44 | 14549 | 22 | 0.90 | 16190 | 0.506 | 31996 | 0.90 | 35552 | 0.89 | 0.35 | 39946 | 0.84 | 47554 | 4909 |
| USA | 938 | 7.74 | 1212 | 0.44 | 2754 | 22 | 0.90 | 3085 | 0.506 | 6097 | 0.90 | 6774 | 0.89 | 1.24 | 7611 | 0.84 | 9061 | 2924 |
| Average* | 1195 | 4.53 | 2820 |  | 6409 |  |  | 7145 |  | 14121 |  | 15690 |  | 0.798 | 17629 |  | 20987 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | oduction: | 5227567 |

Notes to the table on the previous page.

| Parameter: |  | Source: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | Crop water requirement (mm) | FAO (2003a) |
| B | Yield of fresh cherry (ton/ha) | Back calculated from yield of green coffee (M) |
| C | Virtual water content of cherry ( $\mathrm{m}^{3} /$ ton) | $\mathrm{C}=10 \times \mathrm{A} / \mathrm{B}$ |
| D | Product fraction (ton of pulped cherry per ton of fresh cherry). | Bressani (2003). <br> See also GTZ (2002a): 400 kg wet parchment coffee per 1000 kg of fresh cherry. |
| E | Virtual water content of pulped cherry ( $\mathrm{m}^{3} /$ ton $)$. | E=C/D |
| E1 | Water use for pulping, soaking and washing ( $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ per ton of pulped cherry). | The Roast and Post Coffee Company (2003): $10 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ of water for soaking and washing per ton of (dry parchment) coffee, which is equivalent to $4.6 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ of water per ton of pulped cherry. Further we assume a need of $7.5 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ of water for pulping per ton of fresh cherry - based on GTZ (2002b) - which is equivalent to $17 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ of water per ton of pulped cherry. |
| F | Product fraction (ton of wet parchment coffee per ton of pulped cherry). | Bressani (2003) |
| G | Virtual water content of wet parchment coffee ( $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{ton}$ ). | $\mathrm{G}=(\mathrm{E}+\mathrm{E} 1) / \mathrm{F}$ |
| H | Product fraction (ton of dry parchment coffee per ton of wet parchment coffee). | GTZ (2002c): wet parchment coffee with a moisture content of about $55 \%$ is processed into dry parchment coffee with a moisture content of about 11\%. [This means: dry parchment coffee $=45 \% / 89 \%$ of wet parchment coffee.] |
| 1 | Virtual water content of dry parchment coffee ( $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{ton}$ ). | $\mathrm{I}=\mathrm{G} / \mathrm{H}$ |
| J | Product fraction (ton of hulled beans per ton of dry parchment coffee). | GTZ (2002a): 1000 kg of dry parchment coffee gives $750-800 \mathrm{~kg}$ of exportable green beans; we assume $10 \%$ weight reduction due to hulling. |
| K | Virtual water content of hulled beans ( $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{ton}$ ). | $\mathrm{K}=\mathrm{l} / \mathrm{J}$ |
| L | Product fraction (ton of green coffee per ton of hulled beans). | GTZ (2002a): 1000 kg of dry parchment coffee gives $750-800 \mathrm{~kg}$ of exportable green beans; we assume $11 \%$ weight reduction due to sorting. |
| M | Yield of green coffee (ton/ha). | FAO (2003c) |
| N | Virtual water content of green coffee ( $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{ton}$ ). | $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{K} / \mathrm{L}$ or $\mathrm{N}=10 \times \mathrm{A} / \mathrm{M}$ |
| 0 | Product fraction (ton of roasted coffee per ton of green coffee). | GTZ (2002a): 1000 kg of green beans gives 840 kg of roasted coffee. ICO (2003) \& Hicks (2001): roasting causes on average a $16 \%$ loss in weight. Sovrana (2003): with roasting the weight of green coffee drops by about 18-20\%. |
| P | Virtual water content of roasted coffee, expressed in cubic metre per ton. | $\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{O}$ |

Appendix III. Virtual water content of coffee produced with the dry production method by country of origin.

| Countries | Crop water requirement | Yield of fresh cherry | Virtual water content of cherry | $p f$ | Virtual water content of dried cherry | $p f$ | Virtual water content of hulled beans | $p f$ | Virtual water content of green coffee | $p f$ | Virtual water content of roasted coffee | Production |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | mm | ton/ha | $\mathrm{m}^{3} /$ ton | ton/ton | $\mathrm{m}^{3} /$ ton | ton/ton | $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ /ton | ton/ton | $\mathrm{m}^{3} /$ ton | ton/ton | $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{ton}$ | ton/yr |
|  | A | B | C | D | E | F | G | H | I | $J$ | K | L |
| Brazil | 1277 | 4.22 | 3028 | 0.361 | 8395 | 0.50 | 16790 | 0.89 | 18865 | 0.84 | 22458 | 1370232 |
| Colombia | 893 | 4.61 | 1939 | 0.361 | 5375 | 0.50 | 10750 | 0.89 | 12078 | 0.84 | 14379 | 689688 |
| Indonesia | 1455 | 3.41 | 4268 | 0.361 | 11833 | 0.50 | 23665 | 0.89 | 26590 | 0.84 | 31655 | 466214 |
| Vietnam | 938 | 11.63 | 807 | 0.361 | 2236 | 0.50 | 4472 | 0.89 | 5025 | 0.84 | 5982 | 384220 |
| Mexico | 1122 | 2.88 | 3898 | 0.361 | 10808 | 0.50 | 21615 | 0.89 | 24287 | 0.84 | 28913 | 329297 |
| Guatemala | 1338 | 5.60 | 2388 | 0.361 | 6621 | 0.50 | 13243 | 0.89 | 14880 | 0.84 | 17714 | 240222 |
| Uganda | 1440 | 5.25 | 2741 | 0.361 | 7600 | 0.50 | 15200 | 0.89 | 17079 | 0.84 | 20332 | 229190 |
| Ethiopia | 1151 | 5.65 | 2036 | 0.361 | 5646 | 0.50 | 11293 | 0.89 | 12688 | 0.84 | 15105 | 227078 |
| India | 754 | 5.08 | 1485 | 0.361 | 4117 | 0.50 | 8234 | 0.89 | 9252 | 0.84 | 11014 | 220200 |
| Costa Rica | 1227 | 9.14 | 1342 | 0.361 | 3722 | 0.50 | 7444 | 0.89 | 8364 | 0.84 | 9957 | 157188 |
| Hondurus | 1483 | 4.87 | 3044 | 0.361 | 8441 | 0.50 | 16881 | 0.89 | 18968 | 0.84 | 22581 | 154814 |
| El Salvador | 1417 | 5.28 | 2685 | 0.361 | 7444 | 0.50 | 14888 | 0.89 | 16729 | 0.84 | 19915 | 138121 |
| Ecuador | 1033 | 1.98 | 5225 | 0.361 | 14487 | 0.50 | 28974 | 0.89 | 32555 | 0.84 | 38756 | 121476 |
| Peru | 994 | 3.80 | 2612 | 0.361 | 7242 | 0.50 | 14484 | 0.89 | 16275 | 0.84 | 19374 | 116177 |
| Thailand | 1556 | 6.96 | 2236 | 0.361 | 6200 | 0.50 | 12400 | 0.89 | 13932 | 0.84 | 16586 | 75814 |
| Venezuala | 1261 | 2.19 | 5756 | 0.361 | 15959 | 0.50 | 31918 | 0.89 | 35863 | 0.84 | 42694 | 67802 |
| Nicaragua | 1661 | 4.55 | 3649 | 0.361 | 10118 | 0.50 | 20235 | 0.89 | 22736 | 0.84 | 27067 | 65373 |
| Madagascar | 1164 | 2.04 | 5692 | 0.361 | 15780 | 0.50 | 31560 | 0.89 | 35461 | 0.84 | 42216 | 63200 |
| Tanzania | 1422 | 2.38 | 5964 | 0.361 | 16536 | 0.50 | 33071 | 0.89 | 37159 | 0.84 | 44236 | 44540 |
| Bolivia | 1093 | 5.84 | 1874 | 0.361 | 5195 | 0.50 | 10389 | 0.89 | 11673 | 0.84 | 13897 | 22613 |
| Togo | 1409 | 2.12 | 6643 | 0.361 | 18417 | 0.50 | 36834 | 0.89 | 41386 | 0.84 | 49269 | 14416 |
| Sri Lanka | 1426 | 4.22 | 3379 | 0.361 | 9369 | 0.50 | 18739 | 0.89 | 21055 | 0.84 | 25066 | 11133 |
| Panama | 1294 | 2.55 | 5068 | 0.361 | 14050 | 0.50 | 28101 | 0.89 | 31574 | 0.84 | 37588 | 10726 |
| Ghana | 1381 | 2.16 | 6402 | 0.361 | 17749 | 0.50 | 35498 | 0.89 | 39885 | 0.84 | 47483 | 4909 |
| USA | 938 | 7.74 | 1212 | 0.361 | 3360 | 0.50 | 6720 | 0.89 | 7551 | 0.84 | 8989 | 2924 |
| Average* | 1195 | 4.53 | 2820 |  | 7818 |  | 15636 |  | 17569 |  | 20915 |  |
| * Country data have been weighted on the basis of their total green coffee production. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total production: |  | 5227567 |

See notes to the table on the next page.
Notes to the table on the previous page.

| Parameter: |  | Source: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | Crop water requirement (mm) | FAO (2003a) |
| B | Yield of fresh cherry (ton/ha) | See column B in Appendix II. |
| C | Virtual water content of cherry ( $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{ton}$ ). | $\mathrm{C}=10 \times \mathrm{A} / \mathrm{B}$ |
| D | Product fraction (ton of dried cherry per ton of fresh cherry). | Hicks (2001) |
| E | Virtual water content of dried cherry ( $\mathrm{m}^{3} /$ ton $)$. | E=C/D |
| F | Product fraction (ton of hulled beans per ton of dried cherry). | Hicks (2001) |
| G | Virtual water content of hulled beans ( $\mathrm{m}^{3} /$ ton). | $\mathrm{G}=\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{F}$ |
| H | Product fraction (ton of green coffee per ton of hulled beans). | See column L in Appendix II. |
| 1 | Virtual water content of green coffee ( $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{ton}$ ). | $\mathrm{I}=\mathrm{G} / \mathrm{H}$ |
| J | Product fraction (ton of roasted coffee per ton of green coffee). | GTZ (2002a): 1000 kg of green beans gives 840 kg of roasted coffee. ICO (2003) \& Hicks (2001): roasting causes on average a $16 \%$ loss in weight. Sovrana (2003): with roasting the weight of green coffee drops by about 18-20\%. |
| K | Virtual water content of roasted coffee ( $\mathrm{m}^{3} /$ ton $)$. | K=I/J |

Appendix IV. Average annual virtual water import to the Netherlands related to coffee import in the period 1995-99.

| Origin | Import of coffee (ton/yr) |  |  |  | Virtual water content*$\left(\mathrm{m}^{3} / \text { ton }\right)$ |  | Virtual water import$\left(10^{6} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{yr}\right)$ | Share of total import volume <br> (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 090111 | 090112 | 090121 | 090122 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Green coffee |  | Roasted coffee |  | Green coffee | Roasted coffee |  |  |
| Belgium-Luxemburg | 23632 | 711 | 7506 | 1184 | 17629 | 20987 | 612 | 21 |
| Brazil | 22492 |  |  |  | 18925 | 22530 | 426 | 14 |
| Germany | 285 | 2694 | 13047 | 2560 | 17629 | 20987 | 380 | 13 |
| Colombia | 26691 |  |  |  | 12139 | 14451 | 324 | 11 |
| Guatemala | 10612 |  |  |  | 14940 | 17786 | 159 | 5 |
| El Salvador | 9202 |  |  |  | 16789 | 19987 | 154 | 5 |
| Indonesia | 4742 | 20 | 2 |  | 26650 | 31727 | 127 | 4 |
| Togo | 2386 |  |  |  | 41447 | 49341 | 99 | 3 |
| Tanzania | 2475 |  |  |  | 37219 | 44308 | 92 | 3 |
| Mexico | 3485 |  | 16 |  | 24347 | 28985 | 85 | 3 |
| Costa Rica | 8949 |  |  |  | 8424 | 10028 | 75 | 3 |
| Nicaragua | 3212 |  |  |  | 22797 | 27139 | 73 | 2 |
| Peru | 4366 |  | 26 |  | 16335 | 19446 | 72 | 2 |
| Honduras | 2539 |  |  |  | 19028 | 22652 | 48 | 2 |
| India | 3698 | 40 |  | 86 | 9312 | 11086 | 36 | 1.2 |
| France | 75 | 1787 | 62 | 10 | 17629 | 20987 | 34 | 1.2 |
| Uganda | 1851 | 24 |  |  | 17139 | 20404 | 32 | 1.1 |
| Italy | 23 |  | 837 | 37 | 17629 | 20987 | 19 | 0.6 |
| Ecuador | 577 |  |  |  | 32616 | 38828 | 19 | 0.6 |
| Singapore | 1014 |  |  |  | 17629 | 20987 | 18 | 0.6 |
| Hungary | 709 |  | 13 |  | 17629 | 20987 | 13 | 0.4 |
| Thailand | 860 |  |  |  | 13993 | 16658 | 12 | 0.4 |
| Bolivia | 662 |  | 4 |  | 11733 | 13968 | 8 | 0.3 |
| Madagascar | 223 |  |  |  | 35521 | 42287 | 8 | 0.3 |
| Venezuela | 192 |  |  |  | 35923 | 42766 | 7 | 0.2 |
| Ghana | 136 |  |  |  | 39946 | 47554 | 5 | 0.2 |
| Austria | 5 |  | 135 |  | 17629 | 20987 | 3 | 0.1 |
| Spain | 38 |  | 97 |  | 17629 | 20987 | 3 | 0.1 |
| Switzerland-Liecht. | 15 |  | 59 | 7 | 17629 | 20987 | 2 | 0.06 |
| USA |  | 47 | 46 | 3 | 7611 | 9061 | 1 | 0.03 |
| South Africa | 69 |  |  |  | 17629 | 20987 | 1 | 0.04 |
| Greece |  |  | 46 |  | 17629 | 20987 | 1 | 0.03 |
| Denmark |  | 9 | 35 |  | 17629 | 20987 | 1 | 0.03 |
| Panama | 40 |  |  |  | 31634 | 37660 | 1 | 0.04 |


| Origin | Import of coffee (ton/yr) |  |  |  | Virtual water content*$\left(\mathrm{m}^{3} / \text { ton }\right)$ |  | Virtual water import <br> $\left(10^{6} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{yr}\right)$ | Share of total import volume(\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 090111 | 090112 | 090121 | 090122 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Green coffee |  | Roasted coffee |  | Green coffee | Roasted coffee |  |  |
| Sri Lanka | 38 |  |  |  | 21115 | 25137 | 1 | 0.03 |
| Russian Federation | 36 |  |  |  | 17629 | 20987 | 1 | 0.02 |
| United Kingdom | 21 |  | 15 |  | 17629 | 20987 | 1 | 0.02 |
| Croatia |  |  | 28 |  | 17629 | 20987 | 1 | 0.02 |
| Norway |  |  | 23 |  | 17629 | 20987 | 0.5 | 0.02 |
| Sweden | 12 |  | 11 |  | 17629 | 20987 | 0.4 | 0.02 |
| Canada | 12 |  |  |  | 17629 | 20987 | 0.2 | 0.01 |
| Portugal |  |  | 10 |  | 17629 | 20987 | 0.2 | 0.01 |
| Finland | 4 |  |  |  | 17629 | 20987 | 0.1 | 0.002 |
| Oman | 4 |  |  |  | 17629 | 20987 | 0.1 | 0.002 |
| Total | 135381 | 5331 | 22020 | 3887 |  |  | 2953 | 100 |
|  |  |  | Weighted | average | 17135 | 20399 |  |  |

* The virtual water content of coffee imported into the Netherlands is dependent on the origin of the coffee. For the coffeeproducing countries, the virtual water content of coffee is taken from Appendix II. For the other countries, which are intermediate trade countries, the virtual water content of coffee has been assumed to equal the average virtual water content of coffee in all coffee producing countries together (see also Appendix II).

Appendix V. Average annual virtual water export from the Netherlands related to coffee export in the period 1995-99.

| Destination | Export of coffee (ton/yr) |  |  |  | Virtual water content*$\text { ( } \mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{ton} \text { ) }$ |  | Virtual water export$\left(10^{6} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{yr}\right)$ | Share of total export volume <br> (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 090111 | 090112 | 090121 | 090122 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Green coffee |  | Roasted coffee |  | Green coffee | Roasted coffee |  |  |
| Belgium-Luxemburg | 1057 | 357 | 1361 | 1053 | 17135 | 20399 | 73.5 | 23.4 |
| United Kingdom | 1212 | 53 | 1832 | 102 | 17135 | 20399 | 61.1 | 19.5 |
| Germany | 1861 | 81 | 987 | 103 | 17135 | 20399 | 55.5 | 17.7 |
| France | 1600 | 90 | 459 | 19 | 17135 | 20399 | 38.7 | 12.3 |
| Sweden | 2 |  | 806 | 13 | 17135 | 20399 | 16.8 | 5.3 |
| Spain | 552 | 5 | 15 | 53 | 17135 | 20399 | 10.9 | 3.5 |
| Denmark | 183 | 9 | 287 | 36 | 17135 | 20399 | 9.9 | 3.1 |
| USA | 367 | 35 | 23 | 13 | 17135 | 20399 | 7.6 | 2.4 |
| Russian Federation | 28 |  | 314 |  | 17135 | 20399 | 6.9 | 2.2 |
| Italy | 117 |  | 105 | 5 | 17135 | 20399 | 4.3 | 1.4 |
| Norway |  |  | 199 | 2 | 17135 | 20399 | 4.1 | 1.3 |
| Finland | 5 |  | 135 |  | 17135 | 20399 | 2.9 | 0.9 |
| Netherlands Antilles |  | 20 | 75 | 7 | 17135 | 20399 | 2.0 | 0.6 |
| Austria | 70 |  | 18 |  | 17135 | 20399 | 1.6 | 0.5 |
| Lithuania | 42 | 10 | 24 |  | 17135 | 20399 | 1.4 | 0.4 |
| Czech Republic | 73 |  |  |  | 17135 | 20399 | 1.2 | 0.4 |
| Greece |  |  | 71 |  | 17135 | 20399 | 1.5 | 0.5 |
| Aruba |  |  | 70 |  | 17135 | 20399 | 1.4 | 0.5 |
| Portugal | 5 |  | 59 |  | 17135 | 20399 | 1.3 | 0.4 |
| Turkey | 8 |  | 42 |  | 17135 | 20399 | 1.0 | 0.3 |
| Estonia |  |  | 47 |  | 17135 | 20399 | 1.0 | 0.3 |
| Ireland | 5 |  | 14 | 26 | 17135 | 20399 | 0.9 | 0.3 |
| Oman |  | 32 | 6 |  | 17135 | 20399 | 0.7 | 0.2 |
| Switzerland-Liecht. | 11 | 20 | 5 |  | 17135 | 20399 | 0.7 | 0.2 |
| South Africa | 15 |  | 21 |  | 17135 | 20399 | 0.7 | 0.2 |
| Hungary |  |  | 26 | 9 | 17135 | 20399 | 0.7 | 0.2 |
| Croatia | 9 |  | 21 |  | 17135 | 20399 | 0.6 | 0.2 |
| Romania | 9 |  | 19 |  | 17135 | 20399 | 0.5 | 0.2 |
| Ukraine |  |  | 24 |  | 17135 | 20399 | 0.5 | 0.2 |
| Israel | 10 |  | 13 |  | 17135 | 20399 | 0.4 | 0.14 |
| Australia |  | 18 |  |  | 17135 | 20399 | 0.3 | 0.10 |
| Brunei |  |  | 18 |  | 17135 | 20399 | 0.4 | 0.12 |
| Saudi Arabia | 7 |  | 7 |  | 17135 | 20399 | 0.3 | 0.09 |
| Singapore |  |  | 14 |  | 17135 | 20399 | 0.3 | 0.09 |


| Destination | Export of coffee (ton/yr) |  |  |  | Virtual water content*$\left(\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{ton}\right)$ |  | Virtual water export$\left(10^{6} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{yr}\right)$ | Share of total export volume <br> (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 090111 | 090112 | 090121 | 090122 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Green coffee |  | Roasted coffee |  | Green coffee | Roasted coffee |  |  |
| Latvia |  |  | 13 |  | 17135 | 20399 | 0.3 | 0.08 |
| Cyprus |  |  | 10 |  | 17135 | 20399 | 0.21 | 0.07 |
| Japan |  |  | 10 |  | 17135 | 20399 | 0.20 | 0.06 |
| Sri Lanka |  |  | 10 |  | 17135 | 20399 | 0.20 | 0.06 |
| Hong Kong |  |  | 9 |  | 17135 | 20399 | 0.18 | 0.06 |
| Taiwan |  |  | 9 |  | 17135 | 20399 | 0.18 | 0.06 |
| Belarus |  |  | 8 |  | 17135 | 20399 | 0.16 | 0.05 |
| Bulgaria |  |  | 7 |  | 17135 | 20399 | 0.13 | 0.04 |
| Egypt |  |  | 6 |  | 17135 | 20399 | 0.12 | 0.04 |
| Kazakhstan |  |  | 6 |  | 17135 | 20399 | 0.11 | 0.04 |
| Tunisia |  |  | 5 |  | 17135 | 20399 | 0.10 | 0.03 |
| Angola |  |  | 5 |  | 17135 | 20399 | 0.09 | 0.03 |
| United Arab Emirates |  |  | 5 |  | 17135 | 20399 | 0.09 | 0.03 |
| Cape Verde | 4 |  |  |  | 17135 | 20399 | 0.07 | 0.02 |
| Libya |  |  | 3 |  | 17135 | 20399 | 0.07 | 0.02 |
| Canada |  |  | 2 |  | 17135 | 20399 | 0.04 | 0.01 |
| South Korea |  |  |  | 1 | 17135 | 20399 | 0.03 | 0.01 |
| Uzbekistan |  |  |  | 1 | 17135 | 20399 | 0.02 | 0.01 |
| Area, not else specified |  |  | 5 |  | 17135 | 20399 | 0.11 | 0.04 |
| Total | 7252 | 731 | 7229 | 1444 | 17135 | 20399 | 314 | 100 |
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[^0]:    * The virtual water content of coffee exported from the Netherlands is assumed to be equal to the average virtual water content of the coffee imported to the Netherlands (see last row of Appendix IV).

